			(low
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,871	HAVAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert R. Raevis	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. ☐ This communication is responsive to 9-11-03. 2. ☐ The allowed claim(s) is/are 6-9. 3. ☐ The drawings filed on 11 September 2003 are accepted by 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	ars on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communing the second of the	the correspondence address this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due conjugate to withdrawal from issue of (f).	Durse. THIS at the initiative
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the delow. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	s reason(s) why the oath or		TICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of the state of the sheet of the state of the sheet of the state of the st	on's Patent Drawing Review Amendment / Comment or i	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not the b	eack) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I 			ote the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 9-11-03 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Sur Paper No./N 8), 7. ☒ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application (PTO- mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allow	·
		RAEVIS AU2856	

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

The application has been amended as follows:

On page 1, after "September 7, 2001" (line 1) insert, -- now Patent Number 6,651,319 --

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Koshimizu et al teach coupling pressure sensor to a fuel tank, but does not teach the claimed coupling of Applicants' claim 6.

Garfinkel teaches coupling a sensor 10 to a substrate 11 via a first layer 13 having a desirable thickness ("3 micron thickness" (col. 3, line 15)) and a second layer 14. However, (1) the second layer is not "disposed between" (line 3 from bottom of Applicants' claim 6) the sensor and the first layer, (2) the "electrolytic deposition" (col. 3, lines 27-28) applied first layer and the solder layer 14 second layer may not properly be labeled adhesives they are not sticky/gummed, as suggestive of the curing nature required of adhesives (as per Applicants' description that required curing on p. 5, second full paragraph, written specification).

Shiono et al couple a sensor 4 to a substrate via adhesive 2 and adhesive 7. However, the there is no one adhesive "disposed between said sensor" (line 3 from bottom of claim 6) and the other adhesive.

Applicant cited Yamada teaches use of an adhesive layer 2 and insulating adhesive 30 layers to join a chip 4 to a substrate 1. Yamada's only reference to adhesive dimensions include a "predetermined size" (col. 4, lines 31-32) of a "concave"

Art Unit: 2856

20" (col. 4, line 31). However, (1) Yamada's the finally constructed "device" (Title) does not appear to have two individual adhesive layers as they are "squashed" (col. 6, line 10) to such an extent that the one layer is no longer between the chip and the other layer (See Figures 1(d), 1(e) and col. 6, lines 9-26), (2) the chip may not fairly be called a "sensor", and (3) the dimensions of the final layer(s) (in Figure 1(e)) do not seem to allow for a layer "having a pre-determined thickness" (claim 6, line 4).

As to claim 6, note was made of the combination of "having a pre-determined thickness" (line 4), "sensor", and "second layer of *adhesive* disposed *between* said sensor and said first layer of adhesive" (italics added, last three lines) limitations with remaining claim limitations. Note was made of the importance of the "pre-determined thickness" as described on p. 1, lines 8-10 from bottom of the page).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/659,871

Art Unit: 2856

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAZUIS -